S1 Checklist

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

Exposure to duloxetine during pregnancy and risk of congenital malformations and stillbirth:

A nationwide cohort study in Denmark and Sweden

Authors

Mikkel Zöllner Ankarfeldt, PhD 1

Janne Petersen, PhD, associate professor 1, 2

Jon Trærup Andersen, PhD, associate professor 3, 4

Hu Li, MBBS, PhD 5

Stephen Paul Motsko, PharmD, PhD 5

Thomas Fast, PhD 6

Simone Møller Hede, MSc 6

Espen Jimenez-Solem, PhD, associate professor 1, 3, 4

1 Copenhagen Phase IV Unit (Phase4CPH), Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Center for

Clinical Research and Prevention, Copenhagen University Hospital Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg,

Copenhagen, Denmark

2 Section of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen,

Denmark

3 Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Copenhagen University Hospital Bispebjerg and

Frederiksberg, Copenhagen, Denmark

4 Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

5 Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA

6 Institute of Applied Economics & Health Research, Copenhagen, Denmark

Contact information for the corresponding author

Affiliation:

Copenhagen Phase IV Unit (Phase4CPH), Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Center for Clinical Research and Prevention, Copenhagen University Hospital Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg, Copenhagen, Denmark

Postal address:

Center for Clinical Research and Prevention

Frederiksberg Hospital

Hovedvejen opgang 5.

Nordre Fasanvej 57.

2000 Frederiksberg

DENMARK

Email address // telephone number:

Mikkel.Zoellner.Ankarfeldt@regionh.dk // +45 38163035

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Title page
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Abstract section
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Introduction section Paragraph 1 and 2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction Paragraph 3
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods section
			Paragraph 2
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Methods section
			Paragraph 2, and 4 (cohorts)
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Methods section
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	Paragraph 2 and 4 (cohorts) Methods section
			Paragraph 10 (statistical methods)
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Methods section

			Paragraph 5, 6, 7 (Exposure, comparison groups and outcome)
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment	Partly applicable.
		methods if there is more than one group	Methods Section
			Paragraph 2 (describe data sources)
			Paragraph 5, 6 and 7 (describe exposure and outcome measurements)
			Paragraph 11 (describe covariates measurements)
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Methods section
			Paragraph 1 (prespecified protocol)
			Paragraph 6 (describe comparator used)
			Paragraph 7 (use of international recognized assessment of the malformation outcome)
			Paragraph 9, 10, 11 (confounder issues)
			Paragraph 12 (missing data)
			Paragraph 14 (sensitivity analyses)
			Paragraph 15 (validation of programming)

Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Method section
			Paragraph 2 (all registered births and stillbirths)
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Methods section
			Paragraph 11 (covariates) Methods
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	section
		(b) Describe and model and to accoming only an analysis and interesting	Paragraph 8, 9, 10 (statistical methods) N/A
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Methods section
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Paragraph 12 N/A
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Methods section
			Paragraph 14 (sensitivity analyses)
Results			
Participants	13*	 (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram 	Figure 1 (Flowchart)
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	Results section
·		social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable	Paragraph 1 Results section
		of interest (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Paragraph 1 N/A
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Methods section
			Paragraph 1

Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	Result section
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Major malformation: paragraph 2 and figure 2
			Minor malformation: Paragraph 3 and figure 2
		(b) Power to a to a superior and a six and a section as a six and a section as a se	Stillbirth: Paragraph 5 and figure 3. N/A
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	N/A
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and	Result section
		sensitivity analyses	Individual malformation subtypes: Paragraph 4.
			Major malformation sensitivity analyses: Paragraph 2
			Minor malformation sensitivity analyses: Paragraph 3
			Stillbirth sensitivity analyses: Paragraph 5
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion section
			Paragraph 1
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Discussion section Paragraph 4-7
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	Discussion section
merpretation 2		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Paragraph 2-3
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion section
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Paragraph 8
Other informati	on_		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Acknowledgement and funding section Paragraph 1

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.